AUSTIN PEAY STATE UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE OF STEM Enhanced Peer Evaluation Report: Summative (Faculty seeking Retention for Years 6, Tenure, or Promotion)

Faculty Member Being Evaluated:	Faculty Member's Rank:
Faculty Member's Department:	Semester of Evaluation:
If faculty member is tenure-track: Years of Prior C	redit Awarded toward Tenure:
If faculty member is tenure-track: Number of Year	s of Probationary service at APSU including present year:
Course Number: (e.g. COMM 2045):	Course Title:
Course Meeting Time (e.g., MWF 9:05 a.m10 a	m.)
Course Modality (check one or explain if other):	
Face-to-face □	
Fully Online □	
Hybrid 🗆	
Hybrid Light □	
Desktop Video Conferencing	
Other (please explain):	
Summative Evaluation Information:	
Date of Evaluation: Time F	Range: (e.g., Class observed from 09:35 -10:40 am)

Online Platform (if synchronous, e.g., Zoom) or Room Location (if in-person, include Building & Room #):
--

Number of students enrolled: ______Number of students in attendance (if evaluation synchronous or in-person): ____

Summative Narrative Comments on Observed Strengths and Areas for Improvement

Topics to comment on (at a minimum):

- 1. Specific examples of observed strengths.
- 2. Specific examples of observed areas needing improvement.
- 3. Specific recommendations and suggestions for improvement of teaching effectiveness.

Summative Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Rating Scale: (1 = Poor, 2 = Weak, 3 = Average, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent, NA = not applicable)

Content						
Main ideas are clear and specific		2	3	4	5	
Higher order thinking or problem solving is		2	3	4	5	
required of students	1	_	_		_	
Definitions are given for vocabulary		2	3	4	5	NA
Provides tangible connections with		2	3	4	5	NA
other disciplines or real-world examples	1	2	2	4	5	
Mathematical symbols and language are used appropriately.	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Organization						
Organization is clear and logical	1	2	3	4	5	
Material is paced appropriately	1	2 2 2	3	4	5	
Connects to students' prior knowledge, lessons, assignments, and/or readings	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Interaction						
Encourages students to ask questions	1	2	3	4	5	
Instructor wait time is sufficient after questioning	1	2	3	4	5	
Provides informative feedback	1	2	3	4	5	
Creates an appropriate, inclusive learning environment	1	2	3	4	5	
Uses a variety of instructional strategies	1	2	3	4	5	
Instructor incorporates student responses	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Use of Media						
Presentation style facilitates learning	1	2	3	4	5	
Computerized instruction is effective	1	2 2	3	4	5	NA
Audio/visual materials or demos are used effectively	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Communication						
Instructor communicates effectively	1	2	3	4	5	
Rate of delivery is appropriate	1	2	3	4	5	
(note for online this may be video lectures, discussion board responses, overall material pacing, etc.)						

Evaluator's Name (print):

Evaluator's Department:

Evaluator's Signature: _____

Date: _____