

Aspiring Teacher Rubric

The NIET Aspiring Teacher Rubric provides a streamlined set of performance indicators to be used with teacher candidates to define effective instruction. These 12 indicators, which are based on and aligned to NIET's research-based Teaching Standards Rubric, describe the key skills and abilities that aspiring teachers must have to be prepared for the classroom. The descriptions within NIET's Aspiring Teacher Rubric create common language for observation, feedback, and support as well as foster collaboration between an aspiring teacher and peers or faculty around instructional practices. The vision represented within the rubric maximizes instructional excellence and correlates with student achievement.

Each indicator, listed below, includes a list of bulleted descriptors and a rubric defining performance at five different levels. Aspiring teachers can earn a score of 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 for each of the 12 indicators.

- 1. Instructional Plans
- 2. Assessment
- 3. Standards and Objectives
- 4. Presenting Instructional Content
- 5. Activities and Materials

- 6. Questioning
- 7. Academic Feedback
- 8. Teacher Knowledge of Students
- 9. Thinking and Problem-Solving
- 10. Environment

- 11. Engaging Students and Managing Behavior
- 12. Professionalism and Ethical Behavior

The indicators, taken separately, provide an aspiring teacher with a progressive view of what each indicator looks like from "improvement needed" to "proficient" to "exemplary." Taken as a whole, the rubric provides concrete and holistic feedback about strengths and weaknesses on the path to becoming a teacher.

Each rubric indicator includes a notation for how it is aligned to the NIET Teaching Standards Rubric. The final page provides an overview for how the NIET Aspiring Teacher Rubric indicators align to both the NIET Teaching Standards and InTASC Standards.

| | 4 INCTRICTIONAL DIANG | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Alianad NUFT | | INSTRUCTIONAL PLA | | Student Mark | |
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Instructional Plans, Teacher Content Kno Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed | |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction | |
| The instructional plan includes: | The instructional plan includes: | The instructional plan includes: | The instructional plan includes: | The instructional plan includes: | |
| goals that are all measurable, explicit, and aligned to state content standards and adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. activities, materials, and assessments, as well as resulting student work, that meet all of the characteristics below: aligned to state standards. sequenced from basic to complex. build on prior student knowledge, are relevant to students' lives, and integrate other disciplines. provide appropriate time for student work, student reflection, and lesson and unit closure. The instructional plan indicates all | goals that are nearly all measurable, explicit, and aligned to state content standards and adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. activities, materials, and assessments, as well as resulting student work, that meet nearly all of the characteristics below: aligned to state standards. sequenced from basic to complex. build on prior student knowledge, are relevant to students' lives, and integrate other disciplines. provide appropriate time for student work, student reflection, and lesson and unit closure. | goals that are mostly measurable, explicit, and aligned to state content standards and adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. activities, materials, and assessments, as well as resulting student work, that meet most of the characteristics below: are aligned to state standards. are sequenced from basic to complex. build on prior student knowledge, are relevant to students' lives, and integrate other disciplines. provide appropriate time for student work, student reflection, and lesson and | goals that are sometimes measurable, explicit, and aligned to state content standards and adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. activities, materials, and assessments, as well as resulting student work, that meet some of the characteristics below: aligned to state standards. sequenced from basic to complex. build on prior student knowledge, are relevant to students' lives, and integrate other disciplines. provide appropriate time for student work, student reflection, and lesson and unit closure. | goals that are rarely measurable, explicit, and aligned to state content standards and adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. activities, materials, and assessments, as well as resulting student work, meet few or none of the characteristics below: aligned to state standards. sequenced from basic to complex. build on prior student knowledge, are relevant to students' lives, and integrate other disciplines. provide appropriate time for student work, student reflection, and lesson and unit closure. | |
| of the following: | The instructional plan indicates | unit closure. | The instructional plan indicates | The instructional plan indicates few | |
| evidence that plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, and interests of all learners; evidence that the plan provides opportunities to accommodate all individual student needs; and a coherent lesson structure with a beginning, middle, end, and extended time for reflection. | nearly all of the following: evidence that plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, and interests of all learners; evidence that the plan provides opportunities to accommodate all individual student needs; and a coherent lesson structure with a beginning, middle, end, and time for reflection. | The instructional plan indicates most of the following: • evidence that plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, and interests of most learners; • evidence that the plan provides opportunities to accommodate all individual student needs; and • a coherent lesson structure with a beginning, middle, and end. | some of the following: evidence that plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, and interests of most learners; evidence that the plan provides opportunities to accommodate all individual student needs; and a coherent lesson structure but may be missing closure or introductory elements. | or none of the following: evidence that plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, and interests of most learners; evidence that the plan provides opportunities to accommodate all individual student needs; and a coherent lesson structure. | |

| | | 2. ASSESSMENT | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Aligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Indicators: Assessment, Teacher Content Knowledge | | | | | |
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed | | |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction | | |
| Assessment plans: | Assessment plans: | Assessment plans: | Assessment plans: | Assessment plans: | | |
| are clearly aligned with lesson objectives and state content standards and sourced from adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. always inform instructional decisions. have clear measurement criteria and include opportunities for students to monitor their own progress and to provide their peers with feedback. always include intentional use of both formal and informal methods of measuring student progress. designs are always informed by student baseline data. measure student performance through both formative and summative types and in more than two ways (e.g., daily student work or responses, exit tickets, project, experiment, presentation, essay, short answer, or multiple-choice test). provide frequent opportunities for students to track their own progress and set individual goals. | are clearly aligned with lesson objectives and state content standards and sourced from adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. frequently inform instructional decisions. have clear measurement criteria and include opportunities for students to monitor their own progress. include intentional use of both formal and informal methods of measuring student progress. designs are frequently informed by student baseline data. measure student performance through both formative and summative types and in more than one way (e.g., daily student work or responses, exit tickets, project, experiment, presentation, essay, short answer, or multiple-choice test). provide some opportunities for students to track their own progress and set individual goals. | are aligned with lesson objectives and state content standards and sourced from adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. mostly inform instructional decisions. have clear measurement criteria. include both formal and informal methods of measuring student progress. designs are sometimes informed by student baseline data. measure student performance through both formative and summative types (e.g., daily student work or responses, exit tickets, project, experiment, presentation, essay, short answer, or multiple-choice test). | are partially aligned with lesson objectives and state content standards and sourced from adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. begin to inform instructional decisions. sometimes include formal and/or informal methods of measuring student progress. | are not aligned with lesson objectives and state content standards or sourced from adopted site curriculum, when available, or other high-quality curriculum options. do not inform instructional decisions. do not include formal or informal methods of measuring student progress. | | |

| 3. STANDARDS AND OBJECTIVES | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | eaching Standards Rubric Indicators: Sta | ndards and Objectives, Teacher Conten | it Knowledge, Assessment, Student Wor | |
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning — Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction |
| All learning objectives and state content standards are explicitly communicated, referenced, discussed, and displayed throughout the lesson. Sub-objectives are aligned and logically sequenced to the lesson's major objective. Learning objectives are: consistently connected to what students have previously learned and know from life experiences, and integrated with other disciplines. Expectations and measurement criteria for student performance are clear, demanding, and high. Student work and formative assessments are always aligned to the lesson's objective and include descriptions of how assessment results will inform future instruction. There is evidence that all students demonstrate mastery of the objective in more than one way. | All learning objectives and state content standards are communicated, referenced, discussed, and displayed throughout the lesson. Sub-objectives are aligned to the lesson's major objective. All learning objectives are connected to what students have previously learned. All expectations and measurement criteria for student performance are clear. All student work and formative assessments are aligned to the lesson's objective. There is evidence that most students demonstrate mastery of the objective in more than one way. | Most learning objectives and state content standards are communicated, referenced, discussed, and displayed throughout the lesson. Sub-objectives are mostly aligned to the lesson's major objective. Most learning objectives are connected to what students have previously learned. Most expectations and measurement criteria for student performance are clear. Most student work and formative assessments are aligned to the lesson's objective. There is evidence that most students demonstrate mastery of the objective. | Some learning objectives and state content standards are communicated, referenced, discussed, and displayed throughout the lesson. Sub-objectives are inconsistently aligned to the lesson's major objective. Some learning objectives are connected to what students have previously learned. Some expectations for student performance are clear. Student work and formative assessments are sometimes aligned to the lesson's objective. There is evidence that some of the students demonstrate mastery of the objective. | Learning objectives and state content standards are not communicated, referenced, discussed, or displayed. Sub-objectives are rarely aligned to the lesson's major objective. Learning objectives are not connected to what students have previously learned. Expectations for student performance are not clear. Student work and formative assessments are not aligned to the lesson's objective. There is a lack of evidence that students demonstrate mastery of the objective. |

| 4. PRESENTING INSTRUCTIONAL CONTENT Aligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Indicators: Presenting Instructional Content, Teacher Content Knowledge | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction |
| Presentation of content always includes: evidence of extensive teacher content knowledge, aligned to high-quality curricula; subject-specific instructional strategies; visuals that establish the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include internal summaries of the lesson; examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas; modeling by the aspiring teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations; concise communication with essential information; no irrelevant, confusing, or nonessential information; logical sequencing and segmenting; and limited content taught in sufficient depth to allow for the | Presentation of content nearly always includes: evidence of accurate teacher content knowledge, aligned to high-quality curricula; subject specific instructional strategies; visuals that establish the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include internal summaries of the lesson; examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas; modeling by the aspiring teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations; concise communication with essential information; no irrelevant, confusing, or nonessential information; logical sequencing and segmenting; and | Presentation of content regularly includes: evidence of accurate teacher content knowledge, aligned to high-quality curricula; subject-specific instructional strategies; visuals that establish the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include internal summaries of the lesson; examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas; modeling by the aspiring teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations; concise communication with essential information; no irrelevant, confusing, or nonessential information; and logical sequencing and segmenting. | Presentation of content sometimes includes: • evidence of teacher content knowledge, aligned to high-quality curricula; • subject-specific instructional strategies; • visuals that establish the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include internal summaries of the lesson; • examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas; • modeling by the aspiring teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations; • concise communication with essential information; • no irrelevant, confusing, or non-essential information; and • logical sequencing and segmenting. | Presentation of content rarely includes: evidence of teacher content knowledge, aligned to high-quality curricula; subject-specific instructional strategies; visuals that establish the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include internal summaries of the lesson; examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas; modeling by the aspiring teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations; concise communication with essential information; no irrelevant, confusing, or non essential information; and |

| | 5. ACTIVITIES AND MATERIALS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Aligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Indicators: Activities and Materials, Grouping, Motivating Students | | | | | |
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed | | |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction | | |
| Activities and materials include all | Activities and materials include | Activities and materials include | Activities and materials include | Activities and materials include few | | |
| of the following: support the lesson objectives; are challenging; sustain students' attention; elicit a variety of thinking; provide time for reflection; are relevant to students' lives; provide opportunities for student-to-student interaction; induce student curiosity and suspense; provide students with choices; incorporate multimedia and technology; and incorporate supplementary resources, where appropriate, to support individual and whole group understanding (e.g., manipulatives, materials from external sources, such as museums/cultural centers, etc.). In addition, sometimes activities are game-like, involve simulations, require creating products, and demand self-direction and self-monitoring. When participating in groups, all students: know their roles and responsibilities and group work | nearly all of the following: support the lesson objectives; are challenging; sustain students' attention; elicit a variety of thinking; provide time for reflection; are relevant to students' lives; provide opportunities for student-to-student interaction; induce student curiosity and suspense; provide students with choices; incorporate multimedia and technology; and incorporate supplementary resources, where appropriate, to support individual and whole group understanding (e.g., manipulatives, materials from external sources, such as museums/cultural centers, etc.). When participating in groups, nearly all students: know their roles and responsibilities and group work expectations. are held accountable for group work and individual work. | most of the following: support the lesson objectives; are challenging; sustain students' attention; elicit a variety of thinking; provide time for reflection; are relevant to students' lives; provide opportunities for student-to-student interaction; induce student curiosity and suspense; provide students with choices; incorporate multimedia and technology; and incorporate supplementary resources, where appropriate, to support individual and whole group understanding (e.g., manipulatives, materials from external sources, such as museums/cultural centers, etc.). When participating in groups, most students: know their roles and responsibilities and group work expectations. are held accountable for group work and individual work. | some of the following: support the lesson objectives; are challenging; sustain students' attention; elicit a variety of thinking; provide time for reflection; are relevant to students' lives; provide opportunities for student-to-student interaction; induce student curiosity and suspense; provide students with choices; incorporate multimedia and technology; and incorporate supplementary resources, where appropriate, to support individual and whole group understanding (e.g., manipulatives, materials from external sources, such as museums/cultural centers, etc.). When participating in groups, some students: know their roles and responsibilities and group work expectations. are held accountable for group work and individual work. | of the following: support the lesson objectives; are challenging; sustain students' attention; elicit a variety of thinking; provide time for reflection; are relevant to students' lives; provide opportunities for student-to-student interaction; induce student curiosity and suspense; provide students with choices; incorporate multimedia and technology; and incorporate supplementary resources, where appropriate, to support individual and whole group understanding (e.g., manipulatives, materials from external sources, such as museums/cultural centers, etc.). When participating in groups, students: do not know their roles and responsibilities and group work expectations. are not held accountable for group work and individual work. | | |
| expectations.are held accountable for group work and individual work. | | | | | | |

| | 6. QUESTIONING | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | 3 | T Teaching Standards Rubric Indicator: | | | |
| Level 5: Exemplary Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Level 4: Distinguished Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Level 3: Proficient Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Level 2: Developing Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning — Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction | |
| Aspiring teacher questions are always varied, high-quality, and increase rigor and student ownership. Questions always provide a balanced mix of authentic question types knowledge and comprehension; application and analysis; and creation and evaluation. Questions are always purposeful and coherent. A high frequency of questions is asked. Questions are always sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions always require active responses (e.g., whole-class signaling, choral responses, written and shared responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time (3-5 seconds) is always provided. The aspiring teacher calls on volunteers and nonvolunteers and a balance of students based on ability, ethnicity, and gender. Students generate questions that lead to further inquiry and self-directed learning. | Aspiring teacher questions are nearly always varied, high-quality, and increase rigor and student ownership. Questions nearly always provide a balanced mix of authentic question types: knowledge and comprehension; application and analysis; and creation and evaluation. Questions are nearly always purposeful and coherent. A high frequency of questions is asked. Questions are frequently sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions frequently require active responses (e.g., wholeclass signaling, choral responses, written and shared responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time (3-5 seconds) is frequently provided. The aspiring teacher calls on volunteers and nonvolunteers and a balance of students based on ability, ethnicity, and gender. Students sometimes generate questions that lead to further inquiry and self-directed learning. | Aspiring teacher questions are regularly varied, high-quality, and increase rigor and student ownership. Questions regularly provide a balanced mix of authentic question types: knowledge and comprehension; application and analysis; and creation and evaluation. Questions are regularly purposeful and coherent. A moderate frequency of questions is asked. Questions are mostly sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions mostly require active responses (e.g., whole-class signaling, choral responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time is provided. The aspiring teacher calls on volunteers and a balance of students based on ability, ethnicity, and gender. | Aspiring teacher questions are sometimes varied, high-quality, and increase rigor and student ownership. Some questions provide a balanced mix of authentic question types: knowledge and comprehension, application and analysis, and creation and evaluation. Questions are sometimes purposeful and coherent. A moderate frequency of questions is asked. Questions are sometimes sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions sometimes require active responses (e.g., whole class signaling, choral responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time is sometimes provided. The aspiring teacher calls on volunteers and nonvolunteers and a balance of students based on ability, ethnicity, and gender. | Aspiring teacher questions are inconsistent in quality and include few question types that increase rigor and student ownership. Few questions provide a balanced mix of authentic question types: knowledge and comprehension; application and analysis; and creation and evaluation. Questions are random and lack coherence. A low frequency of questions is asked. Questions are rarely sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions rarely require active responses (e.g., whole-class signaling, choral responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time is inconsistently provided. The aspiring teacher mostly calls on volunteers and high-ability students. | |

| 7. ACADEMIC FEEDBACK Aligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Indicator: Academic Feedback | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction |
| Oral and written feedback is always academically focused, frequent, and high-quality. Feedback is always given during guided practice and homework review. The aspiring teacher always circulates to prompt student thinking, assess each student's progress, and provide individual feedback. Feedback from students is always used to monitor and adjust instruction. The aspiring teacher engages students in giving specific and high-quality feedback to one another. | Oral and written feedback is nearly always academically focused, frequent, and high-quality. Feedback is nearly always given during guided practice and homework review. The aspiring teacher always circulates during instructional activities to support engagement and monitor student work. Feedback from students is nearly always used to monitor and adjust instruction. | Oral and written feedback is regularly academically focused, frequent, and high-quality. Feedback is regularly given during guided practice and homework review. The aspiring teacher regularly circulates during instructional activities to support engagement and monitor student work. Feedback from students is regularly used to monitor and adjust instruction. | Oral and written feedback is sometimes academically focused, frequent, and high-quality. Feedback is sometimes given during guided practice and homework review. The aspiring teacher sometimes circulates during instructional activities to support engagement and monitor student work. Feedback from students is sometimes used to monitor and adjust instruction. | Oral and written feedback is rarely academically focused, frequent, or high-quality. Feedback is rarely given during guided practice and homework review. The aspiring teacher rarely circulates during instructional activities and/or monitors mostly behavior. Feedback from students is not used to monitor or adjust instruction. |

| | 8. TEACHER KNOWLEDGE OF STUDENTS Aligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Indicators: Teacher Knowledge of Students, Grouping, Lesson Structure and Pacing | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Level 5: Exemplary | ligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Ir Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | its, Grouping, Lesson Structure and Paci Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed | |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction | |
| Aspiring teacher's practices consistently reflect an understanding of diverse student backgrounds and interests and integrate significant cultural connections to support the diverse needs of students. Aspiring teacher's practices always display understanding of each student's anticipated learning difficulties. Aspiring teacher always provides differentiated (modified) instructional methods and content to ensure children have the opportunity to master what is being taught. The instructional grouping arrangements are varied (either whole class, small groups, pairs, or individual; heterogeneous or homogeneous ability) and always maximize student understanding and learning efficiency. Pacing is brisk and provides many opportunities for individual students who progress at different learning rates. | Aspiring teacher's practices frequently reflect an understanding of diverse student backgrounds and interests and integrate significant cultural connections to support diverse needs of students. Aspiring teacher's practices display understanding of all students' anticipated learning difficulties. Aspiring teacher frequently provides differentiated (modified) instructional methods and content to ensure children have the opportunity to master what is being taught. The instructional grouping arrangements are varied (either whole class, small groups, pairs, or individual; heterogeneous or homogeneous ability) and frequently enhance student understanding and learning efficiency. Pacing is appropriate and frequently provides opportunities for students who progress at different learning rates. | Aspiring teacher's practices reflect an understanding of diverse student backgrounds and interests and integrate cultural connections to support the needs of students. Aspiring teacher's practices display understanding of most students' anticipated learning difficulties. Aspiring teacher provides differentiated (modified) instructional methods and content to ensure children have the opportunity to master what is being taught. The instructional grouping arrangements are varied (either whole class, small groups, pairs, or individual; heterogeneous or homogeneous ability) and adequately enhance student understanding and learning efficiency. Pacing is appropriate and provides opportunities for students who progress at different learning rates. | Aspiring teacher's practices sometimes reflect an understanding of diverse student backgrounds and interests and at times integrate cultural connections to support the needs of students. Aspiring teacher's practices display understanding of few students' anticipated learning difficulties. Aspiring teacher provides few opportunities for differentiated (modified) instructional methods and content to ensure children have the opportunity to master what is being taught. The instructional grouping arrangements (either whole class, small groups, pairs, individual; hetero-or homogenous ability) and sometimes enhance student understanding and learning efficiency. Pacing is appropriate for some students and rarely provides opportunities for students who progress at different learning rates. | Aspiring teacher's practices rarely reflect an understanding of diverse student backgrounds and interests and do not integrate cultural connections to support the needs of students. Aspiring teacher's practices demonstrate minimal knowledge of students' anticipated learning difficulties. Aspiring teacher practices demonstrate little differentiation (modification) of instructional methods or content. The instructional grouping arrangements (either whole class, small groups, pairs, or individual; heterogeneous or homogeneous ability) and inhibit student understanding and learning efficiency. Pacing is appropriate for less than half of the students and rarely provides opportunities for students who progress at different learning rates. | |

| | 0 7111 | WALCO AND DOOD ENA | COLVUNO | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | NKING AND PROBLEM- | | |
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | ning Standards Rubric Indicators: Thinkir Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning The aspiring teacher thoroughly | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning The aspiring teacher teaches two or | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning — Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction The aspiring teacher teaches at | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning — Emphasis on Teacher Direction The aspiring teacher attempts to | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction The aspiring teacher implements no |
| teaches two or more types of thinking: analytical thinking, where students analyze, compare and contrast, and evaluate and explain information; practical thinking, where students use, apply, and implement what they learn in real-life scenarios; creative thinking, where students create, design, imagine, and suppose; and research-based thinking, where students explore and review a variety of ideas, models, and solutions to problems. The aspiring teacher facilitates student-led problem-solving and provides opportunities where students: generate a variety of ideas and alternatives; analyze problems from multiple perspectives and viewpoints; monitor their thinking to ensure they understand what they are learning, are attending to critical information, and are aware of the learning strategies that they are using and why; and draw conclusions, make generalizations, and produce arguments that are supported through extended writing. | more types of thinking: analytical thinking, where students analyze, compare and contrast, and evaluate and explain information; practical thinking, where students use, apply, and implement what they learn in real-life scenarios; creative thinking, where students create, design, imagine, and suppose; and research-based thinking, where students explore and review a variety of ideas, models, and solutions to problems. The aspiring teacher regularly and thoroughly models problem-solving and provides opportunities where students: generate a variety of ideas and alternatives; analyze problems from multiple perspectives and viewpoints; and draw conclusions and support them through writing. | least one type of thinking: | teach one of the following types of thinking: analytical thinking, where students analyze, compare and contrast, and evaluate and explain information. practical thinking, where students use, apply, and implement what they learn in real-life scenarios. creative thinking, where students create, design, imagine, and suppose. research-based thinking, where students explore and review a variety of ideas, models, and solutions to problems. The aspiring teacher sometimes models problem-solving and provides opportunities where students: generate a variety of ideas and alternatives; analyze problems from multiple perspectives and viewpoints; and draw conclusions and support them through writing. | learning experiences that teach any type of thinking. The aspiring teacher provides no opportunities where students: • generate a variety of ideas and alternatives; • analyze problems from multiple perspectives and viewpoints; or • draw conclusions and support them through writing. |

| | | 10 ENVIDONMENT | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| | Alianad NIET Tagabina Stand | 10. ENVIRONMENT | nactations Despectful Culture | |
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | ards Rubric Indicators: Environment, Ex Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning The aspiring teacher: | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning The aspiring teacher: | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction The aspiring teacher: | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction The aspiring teacher: | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction The aspiring teacher: |
| creates opportunities where students both learn from their mistakes and can describe their thinking on what they learned. consistently demonstrates caring and respect for students in interactions and celebrates and acknowledges all students' background and culture. prompts students to take initiative to meet or exceed teacher expectations. optimizes instructional time to ensure each student meets their learning goals. fosters positive teacher-to-student and student-to-student interactions that demonstrate overall care, kindness, and respect for one another. is always receptive to and seeks to learn about the interests and opinions of students. | creates opportunities where students learn from their mistakes. demonstrates caring and respect for students in interactions and celebrates and acknowledges students' background and culture. prompts students to take initiative to meet teacher expectations. is always receptive to and seeks to learn about the interests and opinions of students. The learning environment: welcomes all students and guests and provides a safe space for all students to interact with peers. is organized to promote learning for all students. has supplies, equipment, and resources accessible to provide | encourages students to learn from mistakes. interacts with students in a generally positive manner and reflects awareness and consideration of all students' background and culture. is regularly receptive to the interests and opinions of students. The learning environment: welcomes all students and guests. is organized to promote learning for all students. has supplies, equipment, and resources accessible to provide equitable opportunities for students. displays current student work. is arranged to promote individual and group learning. | encourages students to learn from their mistakes. interacts with students in a generally positive manner. is sometimes receptive to interests and opinions of students. The learning environment: welcomes some students and guests. is organized to promote learning for some students. sometimes has supplies, equipment, and resources accessible. displays student work that is not updated regularly. is sometimes arranged to promote individual and group learning. | does not create learning opportunities where students can experience success. does not establish a safe and positive classroom culture for students. is not receptive to the interests of students. The learning environment: is somewhat uninviting. is not organized to promote student learning. supplies, equipment, and resources are difficult to access. does not display student work. is not arranged to promote group learning. |
| The learning environment: • welcomes all students and guests and provides a safe space for all students to take | equitable opportunities for students. displays current student work that promotes a positive classroom environment. | | | |
| risks and interact with peers. is clearly organized and designed for and with students to promote learning for all. has supplies, equipment and resources easily and readily accessible to provide equitable opportunities for all students. | is arranged to promote individual and group learning | | | |

| 10. ENVIRONMENT Aligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Indicators: Environment, Expectations, Respectful Culture | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed | |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction | |
| displays current student work that promotes a positive and inclusive classroom environment. | | | | | |
| is arranged to maximize individual and group learning and to reinforce a positive classroom culture. | | | | | |

| | 11. ENGAGING | STUDENTS AND MANA | GING BEHAVIOR | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | ging Behavior, Lesson Structure and Paci | |
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed |
| Consistent Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Some Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning — Teacher Facilitates the Learning | Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Split Emphasis between Teacher Facilitation and Direction | Some Evidence Moving Toward Student-Centered Learning – Emphasis on Teacher Direction | No Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning – Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction |
| Students are consistently engaged in behaviors that optimize learning and increase time on task. The aspiring teacher and students establish collective expectations for learning and behavior. The aspiring teacher consistently uses and students reinforce several techniques (e.g., rewards, contingent activities, consequences, etc.) that maintain student engagement and promote a positive classroom environment. Teacher consistently recognizes and motivates positive behaviors and does not allow inconsequential behavior to interrupt the lesson. The aspiring teacher addresses individual students who have caused disruptions rather than the entire class. The teacher quickly attends to disruptions with minimal interruption to learning. Routines for distributing materials and transitions are seamless and efficient and no instructional time is lost. | Students are regularly engaged in behaviors that optimize learning and increase time on task. The aspiring teacher and students establish collective expectations for learning and behavior. The aspiring teacher consistently uses a variety of techniques (e.g., rewards, contingent activities, consequences, etc.) to maintain student engagement and promote a positive classroom environment. The aspiring teacher frequently recognizes and motivates positive behaviors and does not allow inconsequential behavior to interrupt the lesson. The aspiring teacher generally addresses individual students who have caused disruptions rather than the entire class. The aspiring teacher quickly attends to disruptions. Routines for distributing materials and transitions are seamless and efficient and no instructional time is lost. | Students are regularly engaged in behaviors that optimize learning and increase time on task. Aspiring teacher establishes rules for learning and behavior. The aspiring teacher uses a variety of techniques (e.g., rewards, contingent activities, consequences, etc.) to maintain student engagement and promote a positive classroom environment. The aspiring teacher often recognizes and motivates positive behaviors and does not allow inconsequential behavior to interrupt the lesson. The aspiring teacher addresses individual students who have caused disruptions and sometimes addresses the entire class. Routines for distributing materials are efficient and little instructional time is lost during transitions. | Students are often engaged in behavior that interrupts learning or minimizes time on task Aspiring teacher establishes some rules for learning and behavior. The aspiring teacher uses some techniques to maintain student engagement. The aspiring teacher sometimes recognizes and motivates positive behaviors and but still allows inconsequential behavior to interrupt the lesson. The aspiring teacher sometimes addresses students who have caused disruptions but frequently addresses the entire class. Routines for distributing materials are inconsistent and some instructional time is lost during transitions. | Students are often engaged in behavior that interrupts learning or minimizes time on task. Aspiring teacher establishes few rules for learning and behavior. The aspiring teacher uses few techniques to maintain student engagement. The aspiring teacher rarely and/or inconsistently addresses behavior that interrupts learning. The aspiring teacher overaddresses inconsequential behavior. Routines for distributing materials are inefficient and considerable time is lost during transitions. |

| 12. PROFESSIONALISM AND ETHICAL BEHAVIOR | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Aligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Indicator: Professionalism | | | | | | |
| Level 5: Exemplary | Level 4: Distinguished | Level 3: Proficient | Level 2: Developing | Level 1: Improvement Needed | | |
| The aspiring teacher always: | The aspiring teacher nearly always : | The aspiring teacher regularly : | The aspiring teacher sometimes : | The aspiring teacher rarely: | | |
| builds and maintains positive, | builds and maintains positive, | builds and maintains positive, | builds and maintains positive, | builds and maintains positive, | | |
| appropriate relationships with | appropriate relationships with | appropriate relationships with | appropriate relationships with | appropriate relationships with | | |
| students, colleagues, and | students, colleagues, and | students, colleagues, and | students, colleagues, and | students, colleagues, and | | |
| families to support student success for all students. | families to support student | families to support student | families to support student | families to support student | | |
| prepares for and participates in | success.prepares for and participates in | success. | success. | success. | | |
| professional collaboration with | professional collaboration with | prepares for and participates in professional collaboration with | prepares for and participates in professional collaboration with | prepares for and participates in professional collaboration with | | |
| colleagues to enhance student | colleagues to enhance student | colleagues to enhance student | colleagues to enhance student | colleagues to enhance student | | |
| learning. | learning. | learning. | learning. | learning. | | |
| contributes to the knowledge | contributes to the knowledge | seeks out new learning and | seeks out new learning and | seeks out new learning and | | |
| and skills of colleagues. | and skills of colleagues. | attempts to implement new | attempts to implement new | attempts to implement new | | |
| seeks out new learning and | seeks out new learning and | applicable learning in the | applicable learning in the | applicable learning in the | | |
| attempts to implement new | attempts to implement new | classroom. | classroom. | classroom. | | |
| applicable learning in the | applicable learning in the | makes thoughtful and accurate | makes thoughtful and accurate | makes thoughtful and accurate | | |
| classroom. | classroom. | assessments of his/her lessons' | assessments of his/her lessons' | assessments of his/her lessons' | | |
| makes thoughtful and accurate | makes thoughtful and accurate | effectiveness as evidenced by | effectiveness as evidenced by | effectiveness as evidenced by | | |
| assessments of his/her lessons' | assessments of his/her lessons' | his/her self-reflection. | his/her self-reflection. | his/her self-reflection. | | |
| effectiveness as evidenced by | effectiveness as evidenced by | offers specific, appropriate | offers specific, appropriate | offers specific, appropriate | | |
| his/her self-reflection. | his/her self-reflection. | actions to improve his/her | actions to improve his/ her | actions to improve his/her | | |
| offers specific, appropriate | offers specific, appropriate | teaching. | teaching. | teaching. | | |
| actions to improve his/her | actions to improve his/her | | _, | | | |
| teaching. | teaching. | The aspiring teacher regularly | The aspiring teacher sometimes | The aspiring teacher rarely | | |
| | | integrates culturally inclusive | integrates culturally inclusive | integrates culturally inclusive | | |
| The aspiring teacher consistently | The aspiring teacher nearly always | instruction that honors the unique | instruction that honors the unique | instruction that honors the unique | | |
| integrates culturally inclusive instruction that honors the unique | integrates culturally inclusive instruction that honors the unique | stories, accomplishments, and struggles of all people. | stories, accomplishments, and struggles of all people. | stories, accomplishments, and struggles of all people. | | |
| stories, accomplishments, and | stories, accomplishments, and | struggles of all people. | struggles of all people. | struggles of all people. | | |
| struggles of all people. | struggles of all people. | The aspiring teacher regularly seeks | The aspiring teacher sometimes | The aspiring teacher does not seek | | |
| struggies of an people. | struggles of an people. | to unpack individual bias to | seeks to unpack individual bias to | to unpack individual bias to | | |
| The aspiring teacher consistently | The aspiring teacher nearly always | understand and address social | understand and address social | understand and address social | | |
| seeks to unpack individual bias to | seeks to unpack individual bias to | barriers that lead to disparities in | barriers that lead to disparities in | barriers that lead to disparities in | | |
| understand and address social | understand and address social | student success. | student success. | student success. | | |
| barriers that lead to disparities in | barriers that lead to disparities in | | | | | |
| student success. | student success. | The aspiring teacher regularly | The aspiring teacher sometimes | The aspiring teacher does not | | |
| | | actively and intentionally mitigates | actively and intentionally mitigates | actively and intentionally mitigate | | |
| The aspiring teacher always actively | The aspiring teacher nearly always | effects of negative cultural | effects of negative cultural | effects of negative cultural | | |
| and intentionally mitigates effects | actively and intentionally mitigates | stereotypes. | stereotypes. | stereotypes. | | |
| of negative cultural stereotypes. | effects of negative cultural | The applying to add to the state of | | The applying to all the state of | | |
| The continue to all the charge | stereotypes. | The aspiring teacher mostly | The aspiring teacher sometimes | The aspiring teacher does not | | |
| The aspiring teacher always | | demonstrates understanding of the expectations of the profession, | demonstrates understanding of the | demonstrate understanding of the expectations of the profession, | | |
| demonstrates understanding of the | | expectations of the profession, | expectations of the profession, | expectations of the profession, | | |

| 12. PROFESSIONALISM AND ETHICAL BEHAVIOR | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Aligned NIET Teaching Standards Rubric Indicator: Professionalism Level 5: Exemplary Level 4: Distinguished Level 3: Proficient Level 2: Developing Level 1: Improvement Need | | | | | | | |
| expectations of the profession, including codes of ethics, professional standards of practice, | The aspiring teacher nearly always demonstrates understanding of the expectations of the profession, | including codes of ethics, professional standards of practice, and relevant law and policy. | including codes of ethics, professional standards of practice, and relevant law and policy. | including codes of ethics, professional standards of practice, and relevant law and policy. | | | |
| and relevant law and policy. The aspiring teacher always accepts responsibilities and/or assists peers | including codes of ethics, professional standards of practice, and relevant law and policy. | The aspiring teacher mostly accepts responsibilities and/or assists peers in contributing to a safe and orderly | The aspiring teacher sometimes accepts responsibilities and/or assists peers in contributing to a | The aspiring teacher does not accept responsibility and/or assist peers in contributing to a safe and | | | |
| in contributing to a safe and orderly school environment. | The aspiring teacher nearly always accepts responsibilities and/or assists peers in contributing to a safe and orderly school | school environment. | safe and orderly school environment. | orderly school environment. | | | |
| | environment. | | | | | | |

NIET Aspiring Teacher Rubric Comparison Summary

This table below provides a summary for how the NIET Aspiring Teacher Rubric indicators relate to the NIET Teaching Standards indicators and InTASC Standards.

| NIET Aspiring Teacher Rubric Indicators | NIET Teaching Standards Indicators | InTASC Standards |
|---|---|---|
| Instructional Plans | Instructional Plans | Standard #4: Content Knowledge |
| | Lesson Structure and Pacing | Standard #7: Planning for Instruction |
| | Student Work | |
| Assessment | Assessment | Standard #4: Content Knowledge |
| | | Standard #6: Assessment |
| Standards and Objectives | Standards and Objectives | Standard #1: Learner Development |
| | Assessment | Standard #4: Content Knowledge |
| | Student Work | Standard #8: Instructional Strategies |
| | Expectations | |
| Presenting Instructional Content | Presenting Instructional Content | Standard #4: Content Knowledge |
| | Teacher Content Knowledge | Standard #5: Application of Content |
| | | Standard #8: Instructional Strategies |
| Activities and Materials | Activities and Materials | Standard #5: Application of Content |
| | Grouping Students | Standard #8: Instructional Strategies |
| | Motivating Students | |
| Questioning | Questioning | Standard #5: Application of Content |
| Academic Feedback | Academic Feedback | Standard #5: Application of Content |
| Teacher Knowledge of Students | Teacher Knowledge of Students | Standard #1: Learner Development |
| | Grouping Students | Standard #2: Learning Differences |
| | Lesson Structure and Pacing | Standard #6: Assessment |
| Thinking and Problem-Solving | Thinking | Standard #5: Application of Content |
| | Problem-Solving | Standard #8: Instructional Strategies |
| Environment | Environment | Standard #2: Learning Differences |
| | Expectations | Standard #3: Learning Environments |
| | Respectful Culture | |
| Managing Student Behavior | Engaging Students and Managing Behavior | Standard #2: Learning Differences |
| | Lesson Structure and Pacing | Standard #3: Learning Environments |
| Professionalism and Ethical Behavior | Professionalism | Standard #9 Professional Learning and Ethical Practice |
| | | Standard #10 Leadership and Collaboration |